Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Theoretical basis **9**
2.1 The distribution of the definite article in English and German . . . 9
2.2 Approaches to definiteness . . . 13
2.2.1 Familiarity . . . 13
2.2.2 Uniqueness . . . 16
2.3 Löbner's approach to definiteness . . . 18
2.3.1 Inherent uniqueness and inherent relationality . . . 18
2.3.2 Concept types . . . 19
2.3.3 Shifts and determination . . . 20
2.3.4 Semantic vs. pragmatic uniqueness . . . 22
2.3.5 Scale of uniqueness . . . 25
2.4 Mass/count distinction . . . 30
2.5 Definiteness strategies discussed in the Slavistic literature . 35

3 Demonstratives **43**
3.1 Criteria for the grammaticalization of definite articles . . . 44
3.2 Polish determiners and the paradigm of *ten* . . . 46
3.3 Previous studies on demonstratives in Polish . . . 49
3.4 My analysis of *ten* . . . 55
3.4.1 The occurrence of *ten* with pragmatic uniqueness . 55
3.4.1.1 Deictic SNs . . . 55
3.4.1.2 Anaphoric SNs . . . 57
3.4.1.3 SNs with complements establishing uniqueness . . . 63

3.4.2 Definite associative anaphors 65
3.4.2.1 Part-whole DAAs 67
3.4.2.2 Relational DAAs 68
3.4.2.3 Situational DAAs 71
3.4.3 The occurrence of *ten* with semantic uniqueness . . 72
3.4.3.1 Complex ICs 72
3.4.3.2 Lexical INs/FNs 73
3.4.3.3 Proper names and personal pronouns . . 75
3.4.4 Factors which enable the presence of *ten* with [+U] nominal 75
3.4.5 Summary 77
3.5 Slavic comparison 79
3.5.1 Upper Silesian *tyn* 80
3.5.2 Paradigms of the determiners in the investigated languages 83
3.5.3 The occurrence of the determiners with pragmatic uniqueness 85
3.5.4 The occurrence of the determiners with semantic uniqueness 92
3.6 Conclusion 93

4 Aspect **97**
4.1 The semantics of aspect 97
4.2 Morphological realization of grammatical aspect in Polish . 103
4.3 The interaction of aspect and definiteness 107
4.4 Incrementality 111
4.4.1 Incremental theme verbs 111
4.4.2 Aspectual composition 113
4.4.3 Filip's approach 114
4.4.4 Evidence against the equation of definiteness and Perfectivity 116
4.5 Definiteness conditions - Polish data and analysis 118
4.5.1 Strictly incremental theme verbs 118
4.5.2 Incremental and non-incremental theme verbs . . . 123
4.6 Aspect, definiteness, and concept types 126
4.7 Conclusion 127

5 Differential object marking and case alternation 129
5.1 Differential object marking . . . 129
5.2 Split case alternation . . . 133
5.2.1 The Polish case system and animacy . . . 133
5.2.2 Negation . . . 138
5.2.3 Summary . . . 141
5.3 Fluid case alternation . . . 142
5.3.1 Verbs of giving and taking . . . 143
5.3.2 The incremental theme verbs *eat* and *drink* . . . 150
5.4 Conclusion . . . 155

6 Information structure 159
6.1 Theoretical background . . . 159
6.1.1 What is information structure? . . . 159
6.1.2 Thetic sentences . . . 160
6.1.3 Mathesius' (1929) definition of theme and rheme . . . 161
6.1.4 Lambrecht's (1994) definition of topic and focus . . . 162
6.2 Information structure in Polish . . . 166
6.2.1 The unmarked topic-focus structure and its influence on definiteness . . . 166
6.2.2 Czardybon et al.'s (2014) study on word order and definiteness . . . 169
6.2.3 The concept type distinction and information structure . . . 175
6.2.4 The ranking of concept types, information structure, and determiners . . . 180
6.3 Slavic comparison . . . 181
6.4 Conclusion . . . 187

7 Conclusion 191
7.1 Summary . . . 191
7.2 Questions for future research . . . 196
7.3 The decision tree . . . 198

Appendix: The distribution of the Slavic determiners under investigation **207**

References **223**